

What to Expect Today

- Review biostatistic principles
- Hands on application
- Questions related to your research project

KAISER PERMANENTE. thrive

Example Study: Statin Letter Intervention

Among patients with DM eligible for statin therapy, does an intervention involving a letter, a pre-ordered statin prescription, and pharmacist counseling increase statin initiation compared to no intervention (i.e., usual care)?

- Primary Objective: Compare statin-start rate (i.e., purchase of a statin Rx within 3 months after mailing date) between groups.
- How do you decide which statistical test should be used to test this objective?

Statin Letter Intervention

- What is a rate?
- What type of data are rates?
- Based on the study design (i.e., quasi-experimental, two groups), what potential bias/confounding variables need to be considered?
- What statistical test will you use?

KAISER PERMANENTE. thrive

Statin Letter Intervention

- What is a rate?
 - Rate = The proportion of a population that experiences an outcome in a specified period of time.
- What type of data are rates?
 - Percentages (yes/no experienced the outcome) so are binomial data.
- Based on the study design what potential bias/ confounding variables need to be considered?
 - Selection bias: Patients in the intervention clinic are more engaged in health behaviors.
 - Confounding: Patients in the intervention clinic are older & sicker.

KAISER PERMANENTE. thrive

| , | Type of Data | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Goal | Measurement (from Gaussian Population) | Rank, Score, or Measurement (from Non- Gaussian Population) | Binomial (Two Possible Outcomes) | Survival Tim |
| Compare two unpaired groups | Unpaired t test | Mann-Whitney test | Fisher's test (chi-square for large samples) | Log-rank test or Mantel- Haenszel* |
| Compare two paired groups | Paired t test | Wilcoxon test | McNemar's test | Conditional proportional hazards regression* |
| Compare three or more unmatched groups | One-way ANOVA | Kruskal-Wallis test | Chi-square test | Cox proportional hazard regression** |
| Compare three or more matched groups | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Friedman test | Cochrane Q** | Conditional proportional hazards regression** |
| Quantify association between two variables | Pearson correlation | Spearman correlation | Contingency coefficients** | |
| Predict value from another measured variable | Simple linear regression or Nonlinear regression | Nonparametric regression** | Simple logistic regression* | Cox proportional hazard regression* |
| Predict value from several measured or binomial variables | Multiple linear regression* or Multiple nonlinear regression** | | Multiple logistic regression* | Cox proportional hazard regression* |



Statin Letter Intervention

- What statistical test will you use?
 - To assess differences in rates between two groups: Chisquare test of association since outcome is binary (yes/no started a statin) and these are large groups
 - To adjust for any potential selection bias: stratification on presence/non-presence of biasing factor
 - To adjust for any potential confounding: logistic regression since outcome is binary (yes/no started a statin)

KAISER PERMANENTE, thrive

Statin Letter Intervention

- Secondary Objectives: Between the intervention and control groups
 - Compare statin persistence rate (i.e., statin purchase 1 year after mailing date +/- 45 days) between groups
 - Compare abnormal CK (>600) or ALT (>200) rate (i.e., at least one abnormal lab result within 6 months after mailing date) between groups
- What statistical tests will you use for these secondary objectives?

KAISER PERMANENTE. thrive

| | Type of Data | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Goal | Measurement (from Gaussian Population) | Rank, Score, or Measurement (from Non- Gaussian Population) | Binomial (Two Possible Outcomes) | Survival Time |
| Compare two unpaired groups | Unpaired t test | Mann-Whitney test | Fisher's test (chi-square for large samples) | Log-rank test or Mantel- Haenszel* |
| Compare two paired groups | Paired <i>t</i> test | Wilcoxon test | McNemar's test | Conditional proportional hazards regression* |
| Compare three or more unmatched groups | One-way ANOVA | Kruskal-Wallis test | Chi-square test | Cox proportional hazard regression** |
| Compare three or more matched groups | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Friedman test | Cochrane Q** | Conditional proportional hazards regression** |
| Quantify association between two variables | Pearson correlation | Spearman correlation | Contingency coefficients** | |
| Predict value from another measured variable | Simple linear regression or Nonlinear regression | Nonparametric regression** | Simple logistic regression* | Cox proportional hazard regression* |
| Predict value from several measured or binomial variables | Multiple linear regression* or Multiple nonlinear regression** | | Multiple logistic regression* | Cox proportional hazard regression* |





KAISER PERMANENTE, thrive

An Examination of the Association Between Therapeutic Anticoagulation Control and Glycemic Control for Patients with Diabetes on Oral Anticoagulation Therapy

- Purpose: To assess the relationship between A1c% and percent time in therapeutic INR range (TTR) for patients with diabetes receiving warfarin
 - A1c% are normally distributed interval level data
 - TTR are skewed interval level data
- Study Design: Retrospective cohort
- What statistical test will you use to quantify the relationship?
- What statistical test will you use if A1c% is categorized as >=8% & <8%?

KAISER PERMANENTE. thrive

| | Type of Data | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Goal | Measurement (from Gaussian Population) | Rank, Score, or Measurement (from Non- Gaussian Population) | Binomial (Two Possible Outcomes) | Survival Time |
| Compare two unpaired groups | Unpaired t test | Mann-Whitney test | Fisher's test (chi-square for large samples) | Log-rank test or Mantel- Haenszel* |
| Compare two paired groups | Paired <i>t</i> test | Wilcoxon test | McNemar's test | Conditional proportional hazards regression* |
| Compare three or more unmatched groups | One-way ANOVA | Kruskal-Wallis test | Chi-square test | Cox proportional hazard regression** |
| Compare three or more matched groups | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Friedman test | Cochrane Q** | Conditional proportional hazards regression** |
| Quantify association between two variables | Pearson correlation | Spearman correlation | Contingency coefficients** | |
| Predict value from another measured variable | Simple linear regression or Nonlinear regression | Nonparametric regression** | Simple logistic regression* | Cox proportional hazard regression* |
| Predict value from several measured or binomial variables | Multiple linear regression* or Multiple nonlinear regression** | | Multiple logistic regression* | Cox proportional hazard regression* |



| | Characteristic | $\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{A1C} \geq 8 \\ (n \equiv 216) \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{l} \mathrm{A1C} < 8 \\ (n = 695) \end{array}$ |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|
| A good way to develop a plan | Mean Percent of Time | 60.3 (31.2) | 60.3 (28.9) |
| for statistical analysis is to | Mean Percent of Time above INR Range (SD) | $15.8\ (23.3)$ | $15.4\ (21.2)$ |
| think about what your Subject/ | Mean Percent of Time below INR Range (SD) | $22.9\ (28.6)$ | $23.2\ (26.3)$ |
| Patient Characteristic table is | Mean Age in Years (SD) | $67.6\;(10.5)^{\dagger}$ | $71.1\ (9.3)$ |
| likely to look like | Female (%) | 41.2 | 41.4 |
| - | Diet Interaction (%) | 2.8 | 4.3 |
| Which variables do you think | Drug Interaction (%) | 6.5 | 7.8 |
| Which valuates do you think | Non-Adherent with | 53.2 | 45.9 |
| should be adjusted for in | Anticoagulant Therapy (%) | | |
| enedia se adjaotea fer in | Thromboembolic Event | 0.9 | 1.0 |
| loaistic rearession modelina of | during the 90 Days | | |
| | Maan Fragmancy of INR | 4.6 (2.6) | 5.0 (2.7) |
| the relationship between | Testing during the | 4.0 (2.0) | 0.0 (2.1) |
| A4+ 400/ 8 TTDO | 90 Days Prior to | | |
| A1C<0% & ITR? | A1C Reading (SD) | | |
| | Primary Diagnosis for | 45.4 | 48.2 |
| | Anticoagulation | | |
| | Therapy (%) | | |
| | Atrial Fibrillation | | |
| | Pulmonary Embolism/Venous | 7.4 | 8.2 |
| | Thrombosis | | |
| | Mechanical Heart Valve | 4.2 | 4.9 |
| | Stroke/CVA | 8.3 | 6.2 |
| | Other | 34.7 | 32.3 |
| | $^{\dagger}p < 0.001.$ | | |
| | $p^{\dagger} = 0.049.$ | | |
| 13 | INR-international normalized ratio | CVA-cerebrovas | scular accident, |
| - | SD—standard deviation. | | |



| This is a logistic regression | Explanatory Variable | Odds Ratio | 95% CI |
|--|---|------------|------------|
| model of A1c>=8%. | Percent of Time in INR Range | 1.00 | 0.99, 1.01 |
| | Age in Years | 0.97 | 0.95, 0.99 |
| Which of the variables in the table appear to be associated with | Frequency of INR Testing during the 90 Days Prior to the A1C Reading Gender | 0.91 | 0.85, 0.97 |
| having an A1C value ≥8%? | Male | 0.94 | 0.69 1.29 |
| | Female | 1.00 | - |
| المراجع ومطفق ومستعد المراجع والمراجع والمراجع والمراجع | Diet Interaction | | |
| now would you interpret the odds | Yes | 1.02 | 0.49, 2.14 |
| methe and a state of suith (A and in | No | 1.00 | - 1 |
| ratio associated with 'Age in | Drug Interaction | | |
| Vaara 20 | Yes | 0.87 | 0.47, 1.62 |
| rears ? | No | 1.00 | - |
| | Thromboembolic Event during the 90 Days Prior to the A1C Bandian | | |
| | Var | 1.14 | 0.22.5.8 |
| | No | 1.00 | = |
| | Adherent with Anticoagulant Drug Therapy | | |
| | No | 1.21 | 0.88, 1.63 |
| | Yes | 1.00 | - |
| | Primary Diagnosis for Anticoagulation Therapy (%) | | |
| | Atrial Fibrillation | 1.03 | 0.72, 1.4 |
| | Pulmonary Embolism/Venous Thrombosis | 1.27 | 0.73, 2.20 |
| | Mechanical Heart Valve | 0.73 | 0.33, 1.62 |
| | Stroke/CVA | 1.32 | 0.72, 2.42 |
| | Other | 1.00 | - |



A Randomized Controlled Trial of Empiric Warfarin Dose Reduction with the Initiation of Doxycycline Therapy

- Purpose: To evaluate the utility of preemptive warfarin dose adjustment for preventing non-therapeutic INR following doxycycline+warfarin co-administration
- Primary outcome: Proportion of subjects with an INR increase ≥1 point over INR goal range upper limit
- Study Design: Randomized controlled trial
- Results: Primary outcome was reached in 0/21 intervention group subjects and 2/18 control group subjects (p = 0.201)
- What statistical test was used to generate the above p-value?
 Interpret this finding using layman's terms
- Is there a need for regression analysis?

| | Type of Data | | | |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Goal | Measurement (from Gaussian Population) | Rank, Score, or Measurement (from Non- Gaussian Population) | Binomial (Two Possible Outcomes) | Survival Time |
| Compare two unpaired groups | Unpaired t test | Mann-Whitney test | Fisher's test (chi-square for large samples) | Log-rank test or Mantel- Haenszel* |
| Compare two paired groups | Paired t test | Wilcoxon test | McNemar's test | Conditional proportional hazards regression* |
| Compare three or more unmatched groups | One-way ANOVA | Kruskal-Wallis test | Chi-square test | Cox proportional hazard regression** |
| Compare three or more matched groups | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Friedman test | Cochrane Q** | Conditional proportional hazards regression** |
| Quantify association between two variables | Pearson correlation | Spearman correlation | Contingency coefficients** | |
| Predict value from another measured variable | Simple linear regression or Nonlinear regression | Nonparametric regression** | Simple logistic regression* | Cox proportional hazard regression* |
| Predict value from several measured or binomial variables | Multiple linear regression* or Multiple nonlinear regression** | | Multiple logistic regression* | Cox proportional hazard regression* |





Assessment of the Impact of Medication Therapy Management Delivered to Home-Based Medicare Beneficiaries

- Purpose: To assess the impact of an MTM program on mortality, healthcare utilization, and prescription medication costs and to quantify drug-related problems (DRPs) identified during MTM
- Study Design: Retrospective cohort with patents who were targeted for MTM but did and did not consent to receiving MTM
- Outcomes: All-cause death (binomial, primary outcome), hospitalization (binomial), and emergency department visit (binomial) rates and medication costs (ratio) in the 180 days following MTM targeting

| Table 1. Patient Characteristics at Baseline | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------|--|
| Characteristic | Patients Who Opted In (n = 459) | Patients Who Opted Out (n = 336) | p Value | |
| ge, y (mean ± SD) ^a | 68.8 ± 10.7 | 68.9 ± 11.3 | 0.949 | |
| hronic Disease Score, mean ± SD | 8.8 ± 3.1 | 8.2 ± 3.5 | 0.016 | |
| fale, % | 43.4 | 45.5 | 0.541 | |
| reperiod utilization ^b | | | | |
| inpatient hospitalization, % | 20.7 | 29.2 | 0.006 | |
| inpatient hospitalizations, mean ± SD | 0.3 ± 0.7 | 0.5 ± 1.0 | 0.003 | |
| ED visit, % | 23.5 | 23.2 | 0.917 | |
| ED visits, mean ± SD | 0.3 ± 0.8 | 0.3 ± 0.8 | 0.956 | |
| fean preperiod medication cost, \$ª (median; IQR) | 4465 (3149; 2378-4806) | 5197 (3186; 2363-5123) | 0.525 | |
| As of date of targeting for medication therapy managem In the 180 days prior to targeting for medication therapy Do you think the outcon Do you think the outcon | management. me 'Pre-period Mec | lication Cost' is | | |

| AISER PERMANENTE, th | rıv |
|----------------------|-----|
|----------------------|-----|

| | Type of Data | | | 1 |
|---|---|---|---|--|
| Goal | Measurement (from Gaussian Population) | Rank, Score, or Measurement (from Non- Gaussian Population) | Binomial (Two Possible Outcomes) | Survival Time |
| Compare two unpaired groups | Unpaired t test | Mann-Whitney test | Fisher's test (chi-square for large samples) | Log-rank test or Mantel- Haenszel* |
| Compare two paired groups | Paired <i>t</i> test | Wilcoxon test | McNemar's test | Conditional proportional hazards regression* |
| Compare three or more unmatched groups | One-way ANOVA | Kruskal-Wallis test | Chi-square test | Cox proportional hazard regression** |
| Compare three or more matched groups | Repeated- measures ANOVA | Friedman test | Cochrane Q** | Conditional proportional hazards regression** |
| Quantify association between two variables | Pearson correlation | Spearman correlation | Contingency coefficients** | |
| Predict value from another measured variable | Simple linear regression or Nonlinear regression | Nonparametric regression** | Simple logistic regression* | Cox proportional hazard regression* |
| Predict value from several measured or binomial variables | Multiple linear regression* or Multiple nonlinear regression** | | Multiple logistic regression* | Cox proportional hazard regression* |

| Event | Unadjusted OR (95% CI) ^b | Adjusted OR (95% CI) ^b |
|---|--|--------------------------------------|
| ath | 0.5 (0.3 to 0.9) | 0.5 (0.3 to 0.9)° |
| patient hospitalization | 1.3 (0.9 to 1.9) | 1.4 (1.1 to 2.0)d |
| D visit | 0.9 (0.7 to 1.3) | 0.9 (0.6 to 1.3) ^d |
| ncrease in medication cost | 1.5 (1.1 to 2.0) | 1.4 (1.1 to 1.9)e |
| Patients who opted out are of Adjusted for age, sex, Chron | comparator group. ic Disease Score, and patient hospitalizatio | d presence/absence n. |

Why was it necessary to do an adjusted analysis?

- For which variable did the adjusted analysis make a difference in the outcome?
- Interpret the finding related to death in layman's terms
 KASER PERMANENTE. thrue











